## 

**H. Prusenko,** Pending for Ph.D. Degree in Law Sciences, Department of Justice, Kyiv National University named after Taras Shevchenko

## NOTION AND KINDS OF PROVISIONAL MEASURES IN INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION

The aim of the paper is to research approaches to the definition of "provisional measures" and criteria used by scientists to separate different types of provisional measures in international commercial arbitration.

The author notes that in the scientific literature there is no substantive definition of "provisional measure" relating to international commercial arbitration. Definitions that are cited in the article (defining provisional measures of protection through the notions of claims or property interests of the parties to an arbitration agreement) are based on a list of security measures provided in the UN-CITRAL Model Law. There is also the problem of the terminological distinction between "provisional measures", "interim measures of protection", "conservatory measures", "preliminary measures", that, according to the researchers, could be solved in different ways (by synonymic use of these terms or their differentiation).

The scientists delineate the kinds of provisional measures in international commercial arbitration by a number of criteria, mainly, depending on the purpose of the application for provisional measures and the subject of the dispute.

Despite the presence of variants for dividing of provisional measures into two, three or four groups the author notes that the differentiation of the two main types of provisional measures (those aimed at preserving the status quo between the parties and those which purpose is to ensure the future performance of an arbitral award).