

I. Izarova, Candidate of Law Sciences, Senior Lecturer, Department of Justice, Kyiv National University named afterTaras Shevchenko

CLOSED COURT CACES REVIEW IN CIVIL PROCEEDINGS: PRACTICE OF EUROPEAN COURT

The paper given by the problem of variuos meanings of main right to public hearings in court. The main international instruments of human rights and freedoms has reinforced defining rights and freedoms, including the requirements of a fair and public hearings of cases. The meaning of "public hearings" is revealed in the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights. Differences in the definition of the basic requirements for adjudication by national legislation often becomes the subject of the appeal court decisions to the EU. In particular, it is a limitation of the principle of publicity of the trial and an hearings "in camera". The purpose and reason for hearings "in camera" clearly enshrined in legislation, but the question of procedure of trial "in camera", requires improvement. Thus, the article analyzes the EU's decision in the case of Nikolova and Vandova v. Bulgaria in which the court found a violation of § 1 of Part 6 of the Convention

an hearings "in camera" because court decision by the mere addition to the file of classified documents. It did not seek to ascertain whether those documents were related to the subject-matter of the proceedings or if their presence was indispensable; nor did it envisage taking measures to limit the effects of the lack of publicity. The author argues the thesis that this decision is important for improvement of the procedures for hearings "in camera". It is proposed to decide on hearings "in camera" based on the appropriate paper and participation of persons involved in the case, according to their opinion, finding out whether there are grounds in this case for restricting the right to a public hearing or may be considered without such disclosure without limited the public hearings, not only marked the availability of documents in the case. Also, the author provided the necessary recommendations to improve this practice of EC in current ligislation.